1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	DENNIS J. HERRERA, State Bar #139669 City Attorney KRISTEN A. JENSEN, State Bar #130196 THOMAS S. LAKRITZ, State Bar #161234 VICTORIA WONG, State Bar #214289 Deputy City Attorneys City Hall, Room 234 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place San Francisco, California 94102 Telephone: (415) 554-6547 Facsimile: (415) 554-4747 E-Mail: tom.lakritz@sfgov.org Attorneys for Defendant CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO		
9	CITTAIND COUNTY OF SAINTHAIN CISCO		
10	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
11	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
12			
13	METRO FUEL LLC, a Delaware limited liability company,	Case No. C07-6067 JSW	
14	Plaintiff,	STIPULATION AND PROPOSED ORDER	
15	vs.		
16	CITY OF SAN FRANCISCO, a municipal corporation, COUNTY OF SAN		
17	FRANCISCO, a subdivision of the State of California, CITY AND COUNTY OF		
18	SAN FRANCISCO, a chartered California city and county and DOE 1 through DOE		
19	10,		
20	Defendants.		
21			
22			
23			
24			
2526			
27			
<i>- '</i>	I and the second		

28

1 2

3

56

7

8

10

1112

13 14

15

16

1718

19

2021

22

23

2425

26

27

28

WHEREAS, on May 8, 2008, the parties filed a stipulation and proposed order informing the Court that the parties were exploring a stay of the present litigation (Plaintiff Metro Fuel's agreement to stay the litigation was conditioned on Defendant City and County of San Francisco's agreement to stay enforcement of local ordinances at issue in the present litigation); and

WHEREAS, on May 9, 2008, the Court adopted the parties' proposed order and vacated the Court's April 17, 2008 order setting a briefing schedule on Defendant City and County of San Francisco's Motion to Dismiss and the June 20, 2008 hearing date; and

WHEREAS, since May 9, 2008, the parties have conducted extensive negotiations in an attempt to reach an agreement on staying enforcement of local ordinances at issue in the present litigation. Unfortunately, were no able to reach an agreement by May 23, 2008; and

WHEREAS, on May 23, 2008, the parties filed a stipulation and proposed order informing the Court that the parties were exploring a stay of the present litigation; and

WHEREAS, Defendant City and County of San Francisco fled an Answer to Plaintiff Metro Fuel's Amended Complaint and Demand for Jury Trial by Friday, May 30, 2008; and

WHEREAS, counsel for Plaintiff Metro Fuel and the City Attorney's Office have agreed upon the terms of proposal to stay the present litigation pending a decision by the Ninth Circuit in *Metro Lights, L.L.C. v. City of Los Angeles*, 488 F.Supp.2d 927 (C.D. Cal. 2006), *appeal docketed*, No. 07-55179 (9th Cir. Feb. 1, 2007) and *Metro Lights, L.L.C. v. City of Los Angeles* No. 04-1037, 2006 WL 4941839 (C.D. Cal. Nov. 3, 2006), *appeal docketed*, No. 07-55207 (9th Cir. Feb. 9, 2007), which includes the City and County of San Francisco's agreement to stay enforcement of local ordinances at issue in the present litigation; and

WHEREAS, counsel for Plaintiff Metro Fuel and the City Attorney's Office need to obtain approval of the proposal to stay the litigation from their respective clients. Counsel for Plaintiff Metro Fuel and the City Attorney's Office will notify the Court no later than Wednesday, June 11, 2008, that their respective clients have approved the proposal to stay the litigation.

///

1	NOW, THEREFORE, it is hereby STIPULATED and AGREED as follows:	
2	1. The parties shall file a joint statement by June 11, 2008, informing the Court whether	
3	they have agreed to a stay of this litigation.	
4		
5		
6	DATED: June 6, 2008	DENNIS J. HERRERA
7		City Attorney
8		By: /s/ .
9		THOMAS S. LAKRITZ
10		Attorneys for Defendant CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
11		
12	D. 1 TOD 1 (2000)	
13	DATED: June 6, 2008	EMERY CELLI BRINCKERHOFF & ABADY LLP
14		By: /s/ .
15		By: /s/ . ERIC HECKER
16		Attorneys for Plaintiff METRO FUEL, LLC
17		
18	IT IS SO ORDERED:	
19		
20		
21	DATED:	By: By: THE HONORABLE JEFFREY S. WHITE
22		THE HONORABLE JEFFREY S. WHITE
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		